

227700

August 26, 2010

Office of Proceedings

Part of Public Recom

VIA E-FILE

The Honorable Cynthia T. Brown Chief, Section of Administration Surface Transportation Board 395 E. Street, S.W., Room #100 Washington, DC 20423-0001

RE: Proposed Abandonment of the South San Francisco Industrial Lead, from Milepost 12.29 Milepost 12.86 in San Mateo County, California;

STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 287X)

Dear Ms. Brown:

Pursuant to the attached Section 106 Findings letter received from the California Office of Historic Preservation Department of Parks and Recreation ("SHPO"), dated August 26, 2010, the SHPO has no outstanding Section 106 issues regarding the above-referenced abandonment and concurs that there are "No Historic Properties Affected" with regard to the Line. Therefore, the Union Pacific Railroad Company respectfully requests that the Surface Transportation Board issue an amended decision in AB (Sub-No. 287X) acknowledging that the Section 106 condition has been satisfied.

Sincerely,

Mack H. Shumate, Jr. Senior General Attorney

Encl.

O:\Abandonments\33-287X\STB-Section 106-082610.doc

OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

P O. BOX 942896 SACRAMENTO, CA 94296-0001 (916) 653-6624 Fax: (916) 653-9824 calahpo@ohp.parks.ca.gov www.ohp parks.ca.gov

26 August 2010

Reply To: STB091109A

Colleen Graham Union Pacific Railroad Law Department 1400 Douglas Street, Stop 1580 Omaha, NE 68179

Re: Union Pacific Railroad—Abandonment Exemption—South San Francisco Branch, California; Docket No. AB-1052X

Dear Ms. Ruston:

Thank you for initiating consultation on behalf of the STB regarding the above referenced undertaking. STB is requesting my assistance in assessing any potential effects of the abandonment action in accordance with 36 CFR 800, regulations and implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

As I presently understand it, the undertaking consists of abandonment of a portion of the South San Francisco Branch rail line between Milepost 12.29 to 12.86 in San Mateo County.

After reviewing the Historic Report included in the consultation package, I am able to concur with the STB overall effort to determine and document the APE is satisfactory pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4(a)(1). Likewise, STB's effort to document historic properties in the APE is satisfactory pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4(b). I presently understand it there are no historic properties within the APE.

Because there are no historic properties present in the APE, I am able to concur with the "No Historic Properties Affected" for the undertaking.

Thank your for considering historic properties in your planning process. If you have any questions, please contact Amanda Blosser of my staff at (916) 445-7048 or e-mail at ablosser@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely.

Milford Wayne Donaldson, FAIA State Historic Preservation Officer

Sucan K Stratton for

MWD:ab